UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,371	09/18/2003	Hee Kyung Lee	51876P389	8435
	7590 05/15/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			LUONG, ALAN H	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/666,371	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALAN LUONG	2623		

	ALAN LOONG	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		THOT KEI ET WAST	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The approprion of the fee. The appropriation of the final Office the final Office the feet appropriate the feet and the feet appropriate the fee	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iones with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	of the data of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	<i>''</i>	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ned below of appended.		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-25</i> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/Scott Beliveau/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623			

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that "Masters does not appear to disclose generating an EPG for informing the user that the preferred program is outputted to the personal channel. That is, in the present invention, the personal channel is created containing programs selected based upon viewing history and then an EPG is generated reflecting the program information which has been recorded by the PDR".(Remark, page 10)

Examiner disagrees in response: In Fig.4; Master explicitly discloses a submenu [44] for "determining the preferred duration and the preferred program in the preferred duration based on usage history of the PDR" (see col. 8, lines 5-19) and on EPG displayed by PVR[4], user can "update the instance description data to include the preferred duration and the preferred program" above; (col. 8 line 31-col. 9 line 13).

Moreover, Master also points out" generating an electronic program guide (EPG)" information from EPG module 26 inside PVR[4] (although it is shown outside PVR[4] in Fig. 3 in the other case).(see col. 5, lines 44 -55) for informing the user that the preferred program is outputted on the personal channel at the preferred duration based on the updated instance description data.(see col. 8 line 31-col. 9 line13)..

Also, Applicant argues that "the EPG contains programming information for only a single channel, i.e., the personal channel, and is generated by the invented method or apparatus, and is not created externally and then provided to the PDR".

Examiner disagrees in response: Claims 1, 10, 19 and 25 do not require that the EPG contains programming information for a single channel per se.

Therefore, Master discloses all issues of claims 1, 10, 19 and 25.

Applicant amended Claim 1 which refers to delete"outputting the EPG to the PDR". For this reason, the scope of the previously presented claim 1 is changed, this change will effect all dependent claims 3, 4 and 5 of claim 1, thereby necessitating further search and/or consideration on the part of the examiner.

May 12, 2008

AL